PROJECT: 26-1130 REST, WALLA WALLA SCHOOL DISTRICT RIPARIAN

Sponsor: Tri-State Steelheaders Inc Program: Salmon State Riparian Status: Preapplication

Parties to the Agreement

PRIMARY SPONSOR

Tri-State Steelheaders Inc
Address PO Box 1375
City Walla Walla **State** WA **Zip** 99362
Org Type Non-Gov-Reg Fisheries Enhance Group
Vendor # SWV0015388-00
UBI 601169392
Date Org created
Org Notes

link to Organization profile
☐ Org data updated

SECONDARY SPONSORS

No records to display

MANAGING AGENCY

Recreation and Conservation Office

LEAD ENTITY

Snake River Salmon Rec Bd LE

QUESTIONS

#1: List project partners and their role and contribution to the project.

External Systems

SPONSOR ASSIGNED INFO

Sponsor-Assigned Project Number

Sponsor-Assigned Regions

LINK AN EXISTING SRP PROJECT

Unlink
26-1130, WW School Riparian Envelope, Salmon State Ri

Project Contacts

Contact Name Primary Org	Project Role	Work Phone	Work Email
Kat Moore Rec. and Conserv. Office	Project Manager	(360) 867-8426	kathryn.moore@rco.wa.gov
Brian Burns Tri-State Steelheaders Inc	Project Contact	(509) 529-3543	brian.burns@tristatesteelheaders.com
Ali Fitzgerald Snake River Salmon Rec Bd LE	Lead Entity Contact	(509) 382-4115	ali@snakeriverboard.org

Worksites & Properties

#	Worksite Name
#1	WW School District

Restoration	Property Name

Worksite Map & Description

Worksite #1: WW School District

WORKSITE ADDRESS

Street Address

City, State, Zip

Worksite Details

Worksite #1: WW School District

SITE ACCESS DIRECTIONS

TARGETED ESU SPECIES

Species by ESU	Egg Present	Juvenile Present	Adult Present	Population Trend
Steelhead-Middle Columbia River, Walla Walla River, Threatened		✓	✓	Stable

Reference or source used

TARGETED NON-ESU SPECIES

Species by Non-ESU	Notes
Rainbow	

Questions

#1: Give street address or road name and mile post for this worksite if available.

Project Location

RELATED PROJECTS

Projects in PRISM

PRISM Number	Project Name	Program Name	Current Status	Relationship Type	Notes
No related project selected					

Related Project Notes

Questions

Project Application Report - 26-1130

#1: Did this project originate from the Shore Friendly program?

No

#2: Project location. Describe the geographic location, water bodies or habitat types, and the location of the project in the watershed, i.e. nearshore, tributary, main-stem, off-channel, etc.

Garrison and Yellowhawk Creeks are distributaries of Mill Creek originating east of Walla Walla, flowing through the urban area of Walla Walla. They are tributaries of the Walla Walla River near river mile 35.

#3: How does this project fit within your regional recovery plan and/or local lead entity's strategy to restore or protect salmonid habitat? Cite section and page number.

Throughout the Snake River Salmon Recovery Plan for Southeast Washington are references to widespread degraded riparian conditions throughout the recovery area.

Table 2-10 Riparian Assessment by Stream Reach within the Southeast Washington Recovery Management Unit, p. 56:
Riparian condition for Yellowhawk Creek is rated F2 (F = Average habitat condition considered fair (at risk); 2 = Professional knowledge of the WRIA 32, 34, 53 TAG members)
The Fair rating is defined as: Riparian areas exhibit moderate loss of connectivity or function; there is incomplete protection of habitats and refugia for sensitive aquatic species (approximately 70 to 80 percent is intact) and moderate buffering of land use impacts; percent similarity of riparian vegetation to the potential natural community/composition is 25 to 50 percent or better.

Riparian condition for Garrison Creek is rated P2 (P = Average habitat condition considered poor (not properly functioning); 2 = Professional knowledge of the WRIA 32, 34, 53 TAG members)
The Poor rating is defined as: Riparian areas are fragmented, poorly connected, or provide inadequate protection of habitats for sensitive aquatic species, i.e., less than 70 percent of the area is intact and it does not provide a refugium for aquatic species. The area does not adequately buffer land use impacts and less than 25 percent of the area's riparian vegetation is similar to the potential natural community.

The Plan also notes that these "ratings were based on conditions in the 1990's and while we do not have a more recent assessment, conditions have improved considerably."

Table 6-10, Recovery Strategies and Priorities, P. 224 includes the strategy "restore riparian condition and LWD recruitment" to address the limiting factor of degraded riparian condition.

Table A-3, Site Specific Actions and Costs for Walla Walla River Summer Steelhead includes the strategies "Protect floodplain and riparian function," and "Restore floodplain and riparian function."

This project also addresses the WALLA WALLA WATERSHED BASIN STRATEGY - TIER 1 STRATEGY IMPLEMENTATION PLAN & FUNDING STRATEGY Strategy 1.07: Restore and protect riparian habitat along tributaries, small streams, and the Walla Walla River basin-wide.
(https://fortress.wa.gov/ecy/ezshare/ocr/ww/IP-BSF/FINAL_WWImpPlan_Bi-StateFlowStudyUpdate.pdf)

#4: Is this project part of a larger overall project?

No

#5: Is the project on State Owned Aquatic Lands? Please contact the Washington State Department of Natural Resources to make a determination. **Aquatic Districts and Managers**

No

Project Application Report - 26-1130

Property Details

Property: (Worksite #1: WW School District)

Restoration

LANDOWNER

Name	Walla Walla School District
Address	364 S. Park St
City	Walla Walla
State	WA Zip 99362
Type	Local

CONTROL & TENURE

Instrument Type	Landowner Agreement
Timing	Proposed
Term Length	Fixed # of years
# Yrs	10
Expiration Date	03/31/2030
Note	

Project Proposal

Project Description

Yellowhawk Creek and Garrison Creek are adjacent to, or flow through, three schools in the Walla Walla School District. A fourth site owned by the WWSD is a park-like property with playing fields and a popular walking path along Yellowhawk Creek. All of these sites have had some level of riparian restoration in the last 20 years. However, without regular stewardship, the benefits of the restoration investment are not being maximized, and riparian buffer quality is declining.

Yellowhawk and Garrison Creeks are tributaries of the Walla Walla River. Summer steelhead and spring chinook adults in the Walla Walla River utilize Yellowhawk Creek to reach spawning habitat in Mill Creek. Juvenile salmonids in Mill Creek utilize Yellowhawk Creek for rearing and to reach the Walla Walla River on their outmigration. Garrison Creek contributes to the water quality of the Walla Walla River, and lower Garrison Creek provides juvenile rearing habitat for salmonids.

As older trees are becoming decadent and declining, recruitment of new riparian trees and shrubs is timely to continue to provide shade on these salmonid-bearing streams. This restoration project will begin stewardship of these four sites, to improve the quality of riparian buffers on 1.3 miles of urban streams. Activities will include weed control and new plantings and provide volunteer opportunities for local students and the community.

Project Questions

#1: Problem statement. What are the problems your project seeks to address? Include the source and scale of each problem. Describe the site, reach, and watershed conditions. Describe how those conditions impact salmon populations. Include current and historic factors important to understand the problems.

Four sites owned by the Walla Walla School District (WWSD) are on or adjacent to Yellowhawk Creek (Walla Walla High School, Murr Park) or Garrison Creek (Pioneer and Garrison Middle Schools). These sites have received riparian restoration work by Walla Walla County Conservation District, Kooskooskie Commons, Tri-State Steelheaders, and the Whitman Stream Team at various times during the last 20 years. Because of the way these projects have been funded, after implementation there is little ongoing stewardship. In the case of some of these sites, the maintenance being performed is by crews untrained in recognizing riparian species, and as a result, understory riparian plantings are cut to the ground along with weeds on the site, and trees are limbed up, reducing canopy connectivity.

The Murr Park site includes a popular exercise path. The site provides a good opportunity to show the community what healthy riparian vegetation looks like, and how it can be an amenity to the community. As it is managed now, it does not set a good example if we were to refer to this site as an example of riparian restoration.

#2: Describe the limiting factors, and/or ecological concerns, and limiting life stages (by fish species) that your project expects to address.

Yellowhawk and Garrison Creeks are tributaries of the Walla Walla River, and distributaries of Mill Creek. Yellowhawk provides summer steelhead and spring chinook with an alternate pathway to the spawning habitat in upper Mill Creek, and provides rearing habitat and an outmigration route for juveniles in the spring. Garrison Creek has been screened by fish managers to prevent salmonids from entering the poor conditions in the urban section of the stream. Lower Garrison Creek offers juvenile rearing opportunities.

Both streams are on the 303d list for temperature and dissolved oxygen, and several chemical impairments. Maintaining and improving riparian function will help to improve temperature and dissolved oxygen impairments.

#3: **Project Goals.** What are the project goals? The goal of the project should be to solve identified problems by addressing the root causes. Then clearly state the desired future condition. Include which species and life stages will benefit from the outcome, and the time of year the benefits will be realized. **Example Goals and Objectives**

Maintain existing buffers by providing stewardship activities
Expand (where possible) riparian buffer widths
Improve riparian function by increasing riparian plant density
Improve riparian function by removing blackberry, ivy, and other invasive weeds
Provide examples to the community of what riparian habitat should look like

#4: **Project Objectives.** What are the project objectives? Objectives support and refine biological goals, breaking them down into smaller steps. Objectives are specific, quantifiable actions the project will complete to achieve the stated goal. Each objective should be SMART (Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Relevant, and Time-bound). **Example Goals and Objectives**

Visit each site four times per year to assess stewardship needs
Organize two volunteer work parties at each site (one in spring, one in fall) per year to remove weeds and provide infill plantings
Work with WWSD to identify areas where buffers can be widened

#5: **Scope of work and deliverables.** Provide a detailed description of each project task/element. With each task/element, identify who will be responsible for each, what the deliverables will be, and the schedule for completion.

Tri-State Steelheaders staff will be responsible for:
Assess stewardship needs at each site - Walk each site noting species present, buffer width, canopy closure. Determine species and quantities for planting at each site.
Order plants and supplies.
Organize volunteer work events.
Schedule regular site visits to determine ongoing maintenance needs

#6: **Assumptions and Constraints.** What are the assumptions and physical constraints that could impact whether you achieve your objectives? Assumptions and constrains are external conditions that are not under the direct control of the project, but directly impact the outcome of the project. These may include ecological and geomorphic factors, land use constraints, public acceptance of the project, delays, or other factors. How will you address these issues if they arise?

Approval of project activities by the School District - We assume that, as we develop recommendations for each site, that the School District will approve them.

Availability of volunteers - We assume that there will be volunteers in adequate numbers available at the times needed for work events.

#7: **Previous Lessons Learned.** How have lessons learned from completed projects or monitoring studies informed this project?

Experience with volunteers gives a general understanding of managing volunteer events.

#8: **Project Alternatives.** Describe the alternatives considered and why the preferred was chosen.

An alternative would be to have our staff make site recommendations, then hire contractors to conduct the work. This would depend on contractors who could identify riparian species to selectively remove non-riparian species. This is the problem with the current maintenance of these sites, so we feel the need to be in a supervisory role to the work being done.

#9: How were stakeholders consulted in the development of this project? Identify the stakeholders, their concerns or feedback, and how those concerns were addressed.

We have worked with the School District at the Murr Park site recently to remove blackberries and ivy. We are still developing this proposal with the School District.

#10: **Climate Change.** Does your project address or accommodate the anticipated effects of climate change?

Yes

#10a: How will your project be climate resilient given future conditions?

Planting along streams with plant species adapted to riparian conditions will lead to high quality, functioning riparian zones. As these plantings mature, they will provide stream shading and microclimate to maintain or improve water quality.

#10b: How will your project increase habitat and species adaptability?

Where possible, we would like to increase riparian buffer widths to improve riparian function. Providing high quality riparian function allows the best opportunity for species to adapt to changes in climate and seasonal flow timing.

#11: **Project Team Experience.** Describe the project management team's experience managing this type of project. Describe other projects where they have successfully used a similar approach.

In the last few years, Tri-State Steelheaders has organized riparian work events for the Whitman College Stream Team.

#12: **Veteran Involvement.** Will veterans (including the veterans conservation corps) be involved in the project? If yes, please describe.

No

Restoration Supplemental

#1: Is the primary activity of the project riparian planting?

Yes

#1a: Will your project occur solely on currently identified sites, or is this a geographic envelope or reach-scale project?

Identified
Sites

#1b: Will the width of your riparian planting meet or exceed the **site potential tree height** at 200-years for your site?

Unknown

#1ba: Explain why the buffer width requirements cannot be met and how the reduced width still provides restored riparian function specific to your site.

It is unclear from the mapping tool what the potential tree height is for these sites.
We also make the case that in urban areas like these, it is unlikely to achieve buffer widths more than 30-50 feet. We believe a narrow buffer is better than no buffer in these cases, and helps to set an example in the community for what riparian habitat should look like.

#1c: Is there a **303(d) listing** for temperature on the stream?

Yes

#1ca: Does the project meet an exception?

None

#2: Does the project include measures to stabilize an eroding stream bank?

No

#3: Does the project include side channel reconnection or floodplain re-grading worktypes?

No

#4: Does the project include an instream structure placement worktype?

No

#5: Is the primary activity of the project invasive species removal?

No

#6: Describe the steps you will take to minimize the introduction of invasive species during construction and restoration. Consider how you will use un-infested materials and clean equipment entering and leaving the project area.

These sites already have blackberry and ivy. Their removal is one of the purposes of the project.
The nursery material we would purchase is generally certified to be weed-free. We can adopt BMPs for cleaning shovels and other equipment.

#7: Describe the long-term stewardship and maintenance obligations for the project.

Stewardship is one purpose of this project. After this project is completed, we will seek other funding to continue stewardship of these sites. Our goal is to establish a stewardship program for completed restoration projects.

Restoration Metrics

Project Application Report - 26-1130

Worksite: WW School District (#1)

Miles of Stream and/or Shoreline Treated or Protected (C.0.b)	1.30
Project Identified In a Plan or Watershed Assessment (C.0.c)	Snake River Salmon Recovery Board. 2011. Snake River Salmon Recovery Plan for Southeast Washington. https://snakeriverboard.org/
Priority in Recovery Plan	Table 6-10, Recovery Strategies and Priorities, P. 224 includes the strategy "restore riparian condition and LWD recruitment" to address the limiting factor of degraded riparian condition. Table A-3, Site Specific Actions and Costs for Walla Walla River Summer Steelhead includes the strategies "Protect floodplain and riparian function," and "Restore floodplain and riparian function."
Type Of Monitoring (C.0.d.1)	Implementation Monitoring
Monitoring Location (C.0.d.2)	Onsite

SITE STEWARDSHIP PROJECT

Stream or streambank stewardship (C.11.b.1)

Total cost for Stream or streambank provided stewardship	$77,446
Miles of Streambank provided stewardship (C.11.b.2)	1.30
Acres of Streambank Stewarded	4.50

CULTURAL RESOURCES

Cultural resources

Total cost for Cultural resources	$8,000
Acres surveyed for cultural resources	4.50

ARCHITECTURAL & ENGINEERING

Architectural & Engineering (A&E)

Total cost for Architectural & Engineering (A&E)	$25,128

Project Application Report - 26-1130

Overall Project Metrics

COMPLETION DATE	
Projected date of completion	03/31/2030

Restoration Cost Estimates

Worksite #1: WW School District

Category	Work Type	Estimated Cost	Note
Cultural Resources	Cultural resources	$8,000	
Site Stewardship Project	Stream or streambank stewardship (C.11.b.1)	$77,446	
	Subtotal:	$85,446	
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering		$25,128	
	Total Estimate For Worksite:	$110,574	

Summary

Total Estimated Costs Without AA&E:	$85,446
Total Estimated AA&E:	$25,128
Total Estimated Restoration Costs:	$110,574

Cost Summary

	Estimated Cost	Project %	Admin/AA&E %
Restoration Costs			
Restoration	$85,446		
Admin, Architecture, and Engineering	$25,128		29.41 %
SUBTOTAL	$110,574	100.00 %	
Total Cost Estimate	$110,574	100.00 %	

Funding Request and Match

FUNDING PROGRAM		
Salmon State Riparian	$77,176	69.795793

SPONSOR MATCH		
Donated Unpaid Labor	Donated General Labor	
Amount		$33,398.00
Funding Organization		general public
	Match Total:	$33,39830.204207
	Total Funding Request (Funding + Match):	$110,574100.000000

Questions

#1: Explain how you determined the cost estimates

Two volunteer work events at each of the four sites, per year (24 volunteer work parties total in 3 years). Value for volunteer labor is the national average of $34.79/hour from Independent Sector. Assume 10 volunteers per 4-hour work party (40 hours/event x 24 events=960 volunteer hours).

Assume $500 in plants and supplies per work event (24 events).

Mileage to cover monthly site visits, trips to landfill, and work events. Landfill fees assume $30/trip for 24 trips.

Other Funding

OTHER FUNDING DETAILS

Cultural Resources

Cultural Resource Areas

Worksite #1: WW School District

Area: Murr Park polygon1

#1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

The restoration activities will include hand removal of blackberries, ivy, and other weeds, and planting of trees and shrubs.

#2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbing activities will be hand-digging for weed removal (grubbing) and plant installation. Depth not expected to be more than 24 inches. The location will be dispersed, where weeds are encountered and where infill plantings are needed.

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

Yellowhawk Creek bounds Murr Park to the north and west. The riparian area occurs between the creek and a paved walking path. On the side of the path opposite the creek are playing fields.

#5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?

No

#6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.

No

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?

No

#8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?

Yes

#8a: Please name the area and specify when the site was established.

Murr Park, established ???

#9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.

Unknown

Area: Murr Park polygon2

#1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

The restoration activities will include hand removal of blackberries, ivy, and other weeds, and planting of trees and shrubs.

#2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbing activities will be hand-digging for weed removal (grubbing) and plant installation. Depth not expected to be more than 24 inches. The location will be dispersed, where weeds are encountered and where infill plantings are needed.

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

Yellowhawk Creek bounds Murr Park to the north and west. The riparian area occurs between the creek and a paved walking path. On the side of the path opposite the creek are playing fields.

#5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?

No

#6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.

No

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?

No

#8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?

Yes

#8a: Please name the area and specify when the site was established.

Murr Park, established ???

#9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.

Unknown

Area: WAHI polygon1

#1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

The restoration activities will include hand removal of blackberries, ivy, and other weeds, and planting of trees and shrubs.

#2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbing activities will be hand-digging for weed removal (grubbing) and plant installation. Depth not expected to be more than 24 inches. The location will be dispersed, where weeds are encountered and where infill plantings are needed.

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

Walla Walla High School campus is on both sides of Yellowhawk Creek as it flows through the campus.

#5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?

No

#6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.

No

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?

No

#8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?

No

#9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.

Unknown

Area: WAHI polygon2

#1: Provide a description of the project actions at this worksite (acquisition, development and/or restoration activities that will occur as a part of this project)

The restoration activities will include hand removal of blackberries, ivy, and other weeds, and planting of trees and shrubs.

#2: Describe all ground disturbing activities (length, width and depth of disturbance and equipment utilized) that will take place in the Area of Potential Effect (APE). Include the location of any construction staging or access roads associated with your project that will involve ground disturbance.

Ground disturbing activities will be hand-digging for weed removal (grubbing) and plant installation. Depth not expected to be more than 24 inches. The location will be dispersed, where weeds are encountered and where infill plantings are needed.

#3: Describe any planned ground disturbing pre-construction/restoration work. This includes geo-technical investigation, fencing, demolition, decommissioning roads, etc.

None

#4: Describe the existing project area conditions. The description should include existing conditions, current and historic land uses and previous excavation/fill (if depths and extent is known, please describe).

Walla Walla High School campus is bounded to the west by Yellowhawk Creek.

#5: Will a federal permit be required to complete the scope of work on the project areas located within this worksite?

No

#6: Are you utilizing Federal Funding to complete the scope of work? This includes funds that are being shown as match or not.

No

#7: Do you have knowledge of any previous cultural resource review within the project boundaries during the past 10 years?

No

#8: Is the worksite located within an existing park, wildlife refuge, natural area preserve, or other recreation or habitat site?

Yes

#8a: Please name the area and specify when the site was established.

The downstream extent is adjacent to Hayner Park (?)

#9: Are there any structures over 45 years of age within this worksite? This includes structures such as buildings, tidegates, dikes, residential structures, bridges, rail grades, park infrastructure, etc.

Unknown

Project Permits

Permits and Reviews	Issuing Organization	Applied Date	Received Date	Expiration Date	Permit #
None - No permits Required					

Permit Questions

#1: Are you planning to use the **Limit 8** streamlined Environmental Species Act consultation pathway?

No

We do not expect to need ESA consultation for this project

Attachments

Required Attachments	3 out of 8 done
Applicant Resolution/Authorizations	
CCA Tribal Notification	
Cost Estimate	✓
Landowner Acknowledgement	
Map: Restoration Worksite	✓
Photo	✓
RCO Fiscal Data Collection Sheet	
Riparian Enhancement Plan	

PHOTOS (JPG, GIF)

Photos (JPG, GIF)

701472 # 701853 # 701854 # 701855

PROJECT DOCUMENTS AND PHOTOS

Project Documents and Photos

File Type	Attach Date	Attachment Type	Title	Person	File Name, Number Associations	Shared
	03/09/2026	Cost Estimate	SAL-CostEstimate.xlsx	BrianB	SAL-CostEstimate.xlsx, 702238	✓
	03/04/2026	Photo	Murr photo3.jpg	BrianB	Murr photo3.jpg, 701855	✓
	03/04/2026	Photo	Murr photo2.jpg	BrianB	Murr photo2.jpg, 701854	✓
	03/04/2026	Photo	Murr photo1.jpg	BrianB	Murr photo1.jpg, 701853	✓
	03/01/2026	Map: Restoration Worksite	Map all sites.jpg	BrianB	Map all sites.jpg, 701472	✓

Application Status

Application Due Date: 06/22/2026

Status Name	Status Date	Submitted By	Submission Notes
Preapplication	01/15/2026		

I certify that, to the best of my knowledge, all information in this application is true and complete, and if artificial intelligence (AI) was used to prepare this application, I accept full responsibility for ensuring its accuracy and compliance. I understand incomplete applications will be rejected by RCO and that I may be asked to submit additional documentation before evaluation or approval of this project. I understand that if a grant is awarded to my project, I will be bound by all representations and commitments in this application, which RCO may enforce to the fullest extent permitted by law.

Date of last change: 03/10/2026

Walla Walla School District Riparian

Map of project sites (shown as blue pins)

Yellowhawk and Garrison Creeks are distributaries of Mill Creek

Their confluences with the Walla Walla River are west of College Place

Tri-State Steelheaders Inc; Walla Walla School District Riparian (#26-1130)

Attachment #701472, Map all sites.jpg

Walla Walla School District Riparian

Site photo – Murr Park

At this spot, the understory is entirely blackberry and ivy

Walla Walla School District Riparian

Site Photo – Murr Park

An example where plantings are needed to replace a dead tree, and an opportunity to widen the buffer

Walla Walla School District Riparian
Site photo – Murr Park
As trees are dying, they are not being replaced.

RESTORATION

				OVERALL PROJECT	GRANT REQUEST	MATCH & OTHER FUNDING			
				Budget must account for all costs to complete the project	*Enter only the amount of the grant request*	*The Grant Request, Match, and Other Funding should equal the total project cost and Budget Check cell should be 0. Sponsors must account for all sources and types of funding needed to complete the project.*			
				Amount	Grant Amount	Match in PRISM	Other Funding not reported as match in PRISM	Source (Grant, Cash, Materials, Labor, Volunteers, etc)	Funding Type (federal, state, local)
Construction									
Category (choose one)	**Task Description**	**Qty**	**Rate**						
choose category			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
Demolition and site prep	*Volunteer weed removal*	480.00	$ 34.79	$ 16,699	$ -	$ 16,699	$ -		
Construction	*Volunteer plantings*	480.00	$ 34.79	$ 16,699	$ -	$ 16,699	$ -		
Cultural resources	*Site surveys*	1.00	$ 8,000.00	$ 8,000	$ 8,000	$ -	$ -		
Materials	*Plants and supplies*	24.00	$ 500.00	$ 12,000	$ 12,000	$ -	$ -		
Construction supervision	*Project manager 128 h/yr*	384.00	$ 45.00	$ 17,280	$ 17,280	$ -	$ -		
Surveys	*Project manager 96 h/yr*	288.00	$ 45.00	$ 12,960	$ 12,960	$ -	$ -		
Other	*Mileage*	1,500.00	$ 0.73	$ 1,088	$ 1,088	$ -	$ -		
Other	*Landfill fees*	24.00	$ 30.00	$ 720	$ 720	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			STotal	**$ 85,446**	**$ 52,048**	**$ 33,398**	**$ -**		

Administrative, Architechtural & Engineering				Amount	Grant amount	Match in PRISM	Funding not reported in PRISM	Match Source	Match Type (federal, state, local)
Category	**Task Description**	**Qty**	**Rate**						
Administrative	*Project manager 48 h/yr*	144.00	$ 45.00	$ 6,480.00	$ 6,480	$ -	$ -		
Administrative	*Executive director 48 h/yr*	216.00	$ 53.00	$ 11,448.00	$ 11,448	$ -	$ -		
Administrative	*Direct allocation (instead of indirect)*	36.00	$ 200.00	$ 7,200.00	$ 7,200	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			STotal	**$ 25,128**	**$ 25,128**	**$ -**	**$ -**		

Indirect Costs				Amount	Grant amount	Match in PRISM	Funding not reported in PRISM	Match Source	Match Type (federal, state, local)
	Description	**Approved Rate**	**Total Project Base**						
	Indirect	0.000%	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
	Indirect	0.000%	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -		
			STotal	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**	**$ -**		

AA&E Budget Check	
A&E maximum allowed in PRISM $	*25,633.77*
A&E validation	506

Totals	**$ 110,574**	**$ 77,176**	**$ 33,398**	**$ -**
		PRISM Project Total	$ 110,574	
		RCO Percentage	**Match Percentage**	
		69.795404%	**30.204596%**	