

Snake River Lead Entity *Project Evaluation Criteria* - 2020

Project Title

Project Location:

Is the Project in the right area?	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description <i>Applications that contain multiple project sites that are not all in the same priority area will be averaged based on each site location</i>
	Tier I	45 to 50	Imminent threats or Habitat Project(s) in an MSA and within a priority restoration/protection reach (and the project type matches the particular priority restoration/protection reach designation)
	Tier II	35 to 40	Project(s) in an MSA but not in a priority reach (project type does not match the particular priority restoration or protection reach designation) , or in mSA with a priority restoration reach
	Tier III	25 to 30	Projects in an mSA and within a priority protection reach
	Tier IV	15 to 20	Project(s) in an mSA but not in a priority reach
	Tier V	10	Project(s) not in an mSA
			Score

Habitat Factors Addressed:

How well is the project addressing limiting factors?	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description <i>The habitat factors are listed in Table 14; Imminent Threat Projects are not scored here.</i>
	Tier I	21 to 30	Project is in an MSA or mSA that addresses 3 or more of the priority habitat factors
	Tier II	11 to 20	Project is in an MSA or mSA that address 2 of the priority habitat factors or is in an mSA and address two or more of the following protection strategies: upland, riparian, and water conservation
	Tier III	0 to 10	Project is in an MSA or mSA that address 1 of the priority habitat factors or is in an mSA and address one of the following protection strategies: upland, riparian, or water conservation
			Score

Imminent Threat:

Does this project address an imminent threat? <small>(Implementation only, not for assessments or designs)</small>	Barriers	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description <i>The SRSRB RTT will determine if a project would likely address an imminent threat at the population scale ("I") or at the local scale ("i")</i>
		Tier I	45 to 50	Project addresses an Imminent threat based on impacts at a population scale (I) and >1000' of useable habitat is opened
		Tier II	35 to 40	Project addresses an Imminent threat based on impacts at a population scale (I) and <1000 of useable habitat is opened
		Tier III	21 to 30	Project is presumed to address an imminent threat based on a local scale (i)
		Tier IV	0	Project does not address an Imminent threat
	Screens & Diversions	Tier I	31 to 50	Project addresses an Imminent threat based on impacts at a population scale (I)
		Tier II	11 to 30	Project addresses and imminent threats based on a local scale (i)
		Tier III	0	Project does not address an Imminent threat
				Score

Project Certainty

Will the project work?	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description
	Tier I	11 to 20	Project/assessment is based on proven scientific methods and will meet the intended objectives
	Tier II	1 to 10	Project/assessment is based on unproven methods but will likely meet its intended objectives
	Tier III	0	Project/assessment is based on proven scientific methods but will not likely meet intended objectives OR is based on speculative methods and will not likely meet its intended objectives
			Score

Project Size

Restoration Projects: Is the on the ground project large enough to make a significant difference? ("0" for Assessments and Designs Projects, scored separately below)	Project Type	Points Possible	Description	Score	
	Riparian	10 to 12	>50 acres	This section is to reward projects that are large and address multiple ubiquitous limiting factors; the points are additive to points received on page 1 and are additive across project types (assessments/designs do not receive any points; appropriate design-build projects will be scored as an implementation project).	Score
		6 to 9	25-49 acres		
		3 to 5	10-24 acres		
		2	<10 acres		
	Floodplain Reconnection ¹	7 to 10	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is high based on information provided by sponsor	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is medium based on information provided by sponsor	Score
		4 to 6	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is medium based on information provided by sponsor		
		1 to 3	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is low based on information provided by sponsor		
	Instream Flow (<i>Saved & Trusted</i>) (during fish critical period)	10 to 12	>2 CFS		Score
		6 to 9	>1 but < 2 CFS		
3 to 5		>0.5 but <1 CFS			
1 or 2		<0.5 CFS			
Irrigation Diversions Screened (Diversions Identified)	10 to 12	>2 CFS		Score	
	6 to 9	>1 but < 2 CFS			
	3 to 5	>0.5 but <1 CFS			
	1 or 2	<0.5 CFS			
Instream Habitat	10 to 12	>1,000 lineal feet		Score	
	6 to 9	500 to 999 feet			
	3 to 5	200 to 499 feet			
	1 or 2	< 200 feet			
Upland Best Management Practices	10 to 12	> 200 acres		Score	
	6 to 9	100 to 199 acres			
	3 to 5	50 to 99 acres			
	1 or 2	< 50 acres			
Conservation Easements ²	0 to 10	Likelihood of development ² is high based on information provided by sponsor	Likelihood of development ² is moderate based on information provided by sponsor	Score	
	0	Likelihood of development ² is moderate based on information provided by sponsor			
	-10 to 0	Likelihood of development ² is low based on information provided by sponsor			

Designs, Assessments, and Monitoring

Designs, Assessments, and Monitoring ("0" for Restoration Projects, scored separately above)	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description	Score
	Assessments must either lead to a project or fill an identified data gap and monitoring projects must meet Manual 18 requirements.			
	Tier Ia	36 to 50	Design and/or Assessment of an imminent threat (fish passage barrier, screen, ford, de-watered reach) that will directly lead to a project	Score
	Tier Ib	36 to 50	Design and/or Assessments of stream/riparian/floodplain habitat that will identify protection or restoration actions in respectively designated priority reach at the watershed or subwatershed level; Monitoring fills a key data gap in a priority area	
	Tier II	26 to 35	Design and/or Assessment to address 3 or more of the priority habitat factors	
	Tier III	11 to 25	Design and/or Assessment to address 2 or more of the priority habitat factors	
	Tier IV	5 to 20	Design and/or Assessments of stream/riparian/floodplain habitat that will identify protection or restoration actions in an area not currently identified as a priority reach; Monitoring fills a key data gap in an area not currently identified as a priority reach	
	Tier V	1 to 10	Design and/or Assessment of public interest in habitat protection or restoration projects.	

Cost Benefit and Cost Share

Cost Benefit	Project Tier	Points Possible	Description	Score
	Cost/Benefit	up to 10	Committee may assign up to 10 points for cost:benefit relationship based on community values, past experience with project costs, perceived project value relative to other proposed projects, number of ESA listed species and other considerations.	
Cost Share Cost share must be documented with high certainty	Tier I	0	Minimum match met; or high uncertainty of additional match	Score
	Tier II	1 to 2	20-25% for Restoration/Design/Assessment/Monitoring Projects; Design only 10-15%	Score
	Tier III	3 to 4	26-30% for Restoration/Design/Assessment/Monitoring Projects; Design only 16-20%	
	Tier IV	5	30%+ for Restoration/Design/Assessment/Monitoring Projects; Design only 20%+	

Lead Entity Committee Member
Signature

Total Project Score

--	--

Snake River Lead Entity *Project Evaluation Criteria* - 2020

Additional scoring information

Footnotes for additional scoring detail Scorecard will have a superscript number that will refer to footnotes shown on this page		Project Type	Points Possible	Description		
			7 to 10	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is high based on information provided by sponsor	Score	
	Floodplain Reconnection ¹	4 to 6	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is medium based on information provided by sponsor			
		1 to 3	Likelihood of floodplain reconnection ¹ is low based on information provided by sponsor			
	Footnotes: 1 Floodplain Reconnection: The project sponsor must provide information documenting anticipated floodplain reconnection in the project reach. Examples of information needed to support likelihood of floodplain reconnection may include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Range of flows and return intervals anticipated • Stream length or acreage reconnected • Relative floodplain available in project reach • Value or benefit of floodplain reconnection Anticipated floodplain reconnection is in addition to any existing connected floodplain prior to project implementation. Scores are based on likelihood of floodplain reconnection based on information provided by sponsors. This method is intended to facilitate scoring of restoration projects with a wide range of project footprints, floodplain opportunities, and modelling and data availability.					
		0 to 10	Likelihood of development ² is high based on information provided by sponsor	Score		
Conservation Easements ²	0	Likelihood of development ² is moderate based on information provided by sponsor				
	-10 to 0	Likelihood of development ² is low based on information provided by sponsor				
Footnotes: 2 Conservation Easements: The project sponsor must complete the Conservation Easement Prequalification Worksheet and deemed eligible to proceed to the scoring and ranking process. Easement proposal requirements include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site management plan, conservation agreement allowable uses, access for monitoring/enforcement, and easement deed in perpetuity • Information provided on buffer width/size, appraisal valuation information, location, deed use restrictions, restoration requirements, maintenance plan, and proof that easement is of high priority. 						

